

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,454	09/17/2003	Mark L. Jenson	760-68	4333	
23869	7590 06/10/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			GHERBI, SUZETTE JAIME J		
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Mh			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,454	JENSON, MARK L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suzette J Gherbi	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	1ay 2005 and 17 September 2003).			
, :	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-47 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/03; 12/11/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,454

Art Unit: 3738

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 28-47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species and groups, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/19/05.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse in the reply filed on 5/19/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no extensive search is required and that the search would overlap. This is not found persuasive because groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and varied search the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 3. Claims 1-27 will be prosecuted along with elected species A figures 1-4 and 6

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,454 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

5. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claim 11 recites the limitation "said reservoir". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 11-22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hoganson et al. 2003/0074049. Hoganson et al. discloses the claims as currently presented comprising: a first polymeric liner; a second polymeric liner (see 2c for both liners 22); an intermediate structural member (10) interposed between the first and second liners wherein the structural member is defined by solid segments (the struts) and openings (the cells) there between such that the first liner can be bonded [0095] to the second liner through the openings to format least one pocket about the solid segments; and a bioactive agent located within the pocket/cell about the solid segments

Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

of the intermediate structural member (this last limitation is met because the second polymeric layer 22 see figure 2c is bonded to/through the stent cells (24) to joined to the inner or first layer polymeric layer and the drugs are incorporated into the pores of the polymer therefore the bioactive agent is in this pocket/cell read section [0078, section0095 that states compress against the stent and section [0105]). See section [0066] for stent details; [0105-0116] for drug/agent details; [0079] for varying the porosity; and [0071-0076] tables 1 and 2 for types of polymers utilized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoganson et al. in view of Golds et al. 6,001,125. Hoganson et al. has been disclosed above however Hoganson et al. does not specify internodal distance. Golds et al. teach methods of manufacturing ePTFE with internodal distances of less than 40 micons (see col. 3, lines 55-60 and col. 4, lines 66-67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the polymer layers of Hoganson et al. and manufacture the internodal distance of less then 40 microns because Hoganson discloses that the polymer tube is made from ePTFE and by

Application/Control Number: 10/664,454

Art Unit: 3738

creating the nodes within this range would provide superior radial tensile and suture

Page 5

retention strengths which are required for vascular grafts.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. See Pletzer et al. 6,756,007; Holloway et al. 6,752,826; Sogard

et al. 6,139,573; Brodeur 2004/0122509; show related material.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-

Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.

13. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned are 703-872-9306.

14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0858.

Suzette J-J Gherbi

07 June 2005